

KINGDOM OF THE LOVELY DOLLS - I MADE THE WORLD FOR LOVE

RICHARD BRUCE
NOVEMBER 23, 2015

(1) Why did God make the earth? If God was perfect, why make a man in his image, and change himself so as to live among them? [Gen 1:26 And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness], [Gen 1:27 male and female created he them.] If the “us” in Genesis 1:26 is the male and his female, it means God lived as a male and female of some kind, before he created man, and what then is the purpose to create something that is in one’s own image and likeness, and then [Rev 21:3 Behold, the tabernacle of God *is* with men, and he will dwell with them], live among them as one of them?

It means likely, there was something to be gained, and something different from what existed previously, but there appears to be little scriptural information concerning the previous or current existence of God. The word “image” in Genesis 1:26 might refer to the humanoid form (bipedal upright), and “likeness” might be the actual character of the persons of God. There is cause to say God is humanoid (bipedal), and physical in some way, as this indicates, [Gen 3:8 And they heard the voice of the LORD God walking in the garden in the cool of the day] and [Exo 24:10 And they saw the God of Israel: and *there was* under his feet as it were a paved work of a sapphire stone]

(2) Further we have the instances of Lot and the three men that appeared as God, and the man that wrestled with Jacob to change his name to Israel, and Joshua saw God who appeared as a man, the captain of the host of the Lord. We might think however that if God has instantaneous control to change matter at will, he might also appear in different forms which may or may not be his actual form. For instance, if the three men that appeared to Lot were the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, and Lot and other men saw them, it must be different from the form he took, wherein he told Moses that no man could see him and live [Exo 33:20 And he said, Thou canst not see my face: for there shall no man see me, and live.]

So we have a mystery as to the existence of God, though the scripture tells us God has the resemblance of a man, that is, a bipedal life form, that walks and has feet, or at least appears that way to men. So again the question: why create a world of human beings and recreate oneself to live among them? One thought I had was that the form of God or the God’s as they might have existed was that they were not male and female, but Proverbs 8 describes a female person who was with God in the beginning of his way, [Pro 8:23 I was set up from everlasting, from the beginning, or ever the earth was.], which there is no getting around the feminine character of this person, called wisdom here.

(3) A common sense conclusion anyone might draw as to why God created the world of men to live among, might be described simply as to live happily ever after, which speaking of the Jews at least is scriptural, [Isa 51:11 Therefore the redeemed of the LORD shall return, and come with singing unto Zion; and everlasting joy *shall be* upon their head: they shall obtain gladness and joy; *and* sorrow and

mourning shall flee away.], which matches what is to me one of the most important pieces of information God gives us whereby we might deny ourselves, [Rev 21:4 And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be no more death, neither sorrow, nor crying, neither shall there be any more pain: for the former things are passed away.]

Pain sensory might be deactivated, and death avoided by perfectly safe character data and regenerable bodies, but no more sorrow is impressive beyond all because imagine the control over reality one must have, that nothing of yourself or anyone you know could cause sorrow? And this is so much more impressive to us, wherein now often all we know is sorrow every day, and here God is telling us there will be only joy in the earth among men and women forever. How great is that?! And how do any men refuse God's salvation? But, if many are called to be Christians, and few chosen for this eternal life, it means obtaining it is not easy.

(4) As I have looked at the scripture and the overall picture, there stands out for me a single highest priority of this happiness God has in store for the faithful. If you look at most every good story man has ever written, and if you add up the sum of the elements of the plots of those stories, there is one clearly supreme element ruling them all: love. And of the topic of love, clearly the love between the man and woman, is the greatest and most widely depicted.

It is arguable scripturally that the element of love is the highest in priority of God's creation, as the very ceremony of entrance into life everlasting appears to be coupled with the wedding of the Holy One, the Christ, and the wedding also symbolizing the covenant between the faithful and God.

[Rev 19:9 And he saith unto me, Write, Blessed *are* they which are called unto the marriage supper of the Lamb]

We might conclude that God pairs his wedding to his personal wife with his wedding to the people as God, for the purpose of instilling the concept that authority of rule with him is also by love, and his commitment is in love for we his people, as his commitment is in love to his woman.

(5) Of this way of love between the man and the woman, there appears to be much difficulty associated with the split being that God says he is, and every man will follow after his pattern. Notice this interesting verse:

[Thomas (61) ... Jesus said to her, "I am he who exists from the undivided."]

Notice the "divided" must mean into male and female, for which he says [Isa 48:12 I *am* the first, I also *am* the last.] meaning the man Jesus, and his woman Jerusalem, called also, Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, (of salvation, as I read it so far.).

But what is the dividing of oneself into these two parts of male and female? We derive from Adam and Eve that the man is first, and that his female is a derivative of the man, taken from his rib, therefore she is flesh of his flesh, and bone of his bone, and in union they are called one flesh. [Eph 5:29 For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as the Lord the church:]

(6) Noteworthy however is the consideration that Adam, the beginning of the lineage of the Jews, was created initially to be a divided being. That is unless we conclude he was sexless before Eve was created, and his manhood added later. Rather, he was created male, with the intention his female would later be created out of him. In other words, man was always intended to be male and female, but reason has it that for a perfect union the process is one of first and last, the male first, and female last, and male as higher and leader, and the female as lower and follower. [Gen 2:18 And the LORD God said, *It is* not good that the man should be alone; I will make him an help meet for him.] tells us a woman is likened to a helper for her male, whatever his work might be, and also the woman is made a companion to the man.

Scripture also tells us, women are considered a different race, or order of being, and that all members of the male race outrank all members of the female race, and with differing standards and laws pertaining to each.

(7) In particular to the difficulty associated with this gendered split being is the female, or weaker vessel, as God's word describes. If you consider the scripture which tells us in the future, no man shall teach his brother the correct way, for God will give each man inner perfect understanding, we can derive that for now a clear understanding is elusive and guesses divisive, therefore in this study consider it speculation based hopefully on reasonable conclusions regarding what is written, rather than an attempt to instruct men as to the perfect way going forward.

But starting off with the difficulty the female side poses to the union I notice the terrible misconception on both sides regarding a needed key of glory and cohesion, and that in fact is the scriptural ignorance of rank regarding the two races. As I have found, there are men and women who understand this, and men and women who do not, for there are those minus scriptural knowledge who trust their inner sense so as to live by it. Then there are cultures or nations who understand a common sense regarding the two glories, such as the Japanese, of which I am an admirer lamenting the difference in my own American culture, but as with everything the final test and measure should be what God says concerning these things. And what then does God tell us about the race of men and the race of women?

(8) Focusing on women, God tells us a woman is of lesser value to God than a man.

[Leviticus 27:2 If anyone makes a special vow to dedicate someone to the LORD by paying the value of that person, (27:3-4) thy estimation shall be of the male from twenty years old even unto sixty years old,

even thy estimation shall be fifty shekels of silver, after the shekel of the sanctuary. And if it be female, then thy estimation shall be thirty shekels.]

Therefore a woman is three fifths the value of a man to the Lord, and why do you suppose God tells us this? Likely it is because there is an important truth he wants us to know, which knowledge is necessary for the happiness in the earth he has designed. Therefore let not man judge himself equal with God so as to say men and women are equal, and let women know that a man is worth more to God than a woman, and what does this mean? Consider the simplest of leadership problems: two people who must act together, and would you if being equal be satisfied to hand over the final word to your other, simply because someone has to be the leader?

(9) Notice these correlating scriptures regarding order and authority:

[Proverbs 31:3 Give not thy strength unto women, nor thy ways to that which destroyeth kings.]

[1Timothy 2:11-13 Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence. For Adam was first formed, then Eve.]

Notice “strength” means authority in Proverbs, matching Timothy, which warns of the problem of women, possibly with even superior scriptural knowledge, enacting superiority over men thereby. While this example is in the church and teaching therein, one can derive this means in ALL situations, which really is quite a difficult thing, especially if a woman aspires to knowledge in a given interest, and should a woman always keep silence, even if men are ignorant or in error in any topic of knowledge? From the worldly perspective this is clearly unfair, but from the godly perspective all things are possible with God, and we know the world to come will not appear as this current world, but will be a different situation. Therefore in answer to the fairness question, one might say now is the hour of our discontent, but those faithful wait with expectation of a different situation.

(10) [Thomas (114) Simon Peter said to them, "Make Mary leave us, for females don't deserve life." Jesus said, "Look, I will guide her to make her male, so that she too may become a living spirit resembling you males. For every female who makes herself male will enter the domain of Heaven."]

As unsettling as this is, there appears room to say women are not worthy to enter eternal life. Peter says to Jesus that Mary should leave them because he felt she was unworthy of them, (and all women he experienced, not being worthy). Then Jesus replies that he will lead Mary to (as I read it) be born into male flesh, in order for her to be worthy of life, but we know there will be women in the new heaven in the earth, therefore she must return to the flesh of a female. There are many questions about this, but the first for me is, why is the current form of women unworthy? Is it because life is not challenging enough to shape their souls, because (most) women require shelter and dependence on a husband or father, and thus cannot experience the full rigors God might provide in the path of the living? Is it because women cannot

separate themselves from the worldly desire and responsibility of bringing forth children, and therefore cannot forsake the world as necessary? Is it that women's souls are not made worthy because of the types of experiences required in women's flesh, for instance whoredoms and its punishment, and there is no recovery from this type of sin?

(11) It has taken me years of thinking about this to arrive where I am now concerning it, but one can certainly see how women might have irreparably hard feelings toward God concerning this, and men as well might lament that half of their being in the form of women are not worthy of life from that form. The entire hope of the Christian is that by the faith in Jesus and knowledge in the scripture they might for success in righteousness enter the kingdom, but if women read this, and their entire hope for living is in the life of a woman, how hard is it to bear that this form is not worthy to enter the kingdom?

It is important however to keep in mind what God says he is, and the primary purpose of the world as flesh and blood men and women. God tells us his form from the beginning, at least some time before the earth came into being, was male and female, and this male and female being said, [Gen 1:26 Let us make man in our image, after our likeness:] which must mean the female form is worthy of life, if God is female also. Further scripture leaves no doubt that women are a form found in the new heaven in the earth, and in fact are half the population of the Jews and priest kings, and also all others who will make peace with the Lord. So while Peter says, "females don't deserve life", we might extrapolate that what he meant (or the truth of the matter is), that one cannot *enter into life from this form* a female body.

(12) What I find regarding this is that it basically is a creation procedure, wherein because of the needs of the foundation of the world, and the needs of the soul entering life, a transgender experience for women is necessary. Immediately the benefit comes to mind in that a woman experiencing life in male flesh might obtain a perfect empathy with the male experience of women, without which perhaps women are lacking a necessary experience.

Unless the following scene is an allegory, we see that at some point half the kingdom that are women in male flesh follow the Lord wherever he goes, and sing the new song which no one else can learn:

[Rev 14:3 And they sung as it were a new song before the throne, and before the four beasts, and the elders: and no man could learn that song but the hundred *and* forty *and* four thousand, which were redeemed from the earth.

Rev 14:4 These are they which were not defiled with women; for they are virgins. These are they which follow the Lamb whithersoever he goeth. These were redeemed from among men, *being* the firstfruits unto God and to the Lamb.]

(13) Which as I explained previously, the “defiled with women” means they are all male, and since half the redeemed must be female it means they are females in male flesh, if my hypothesis is correct of course. Notice in both verses the “redeemed”, which is from the earth, and from among men, and this redeemed might be the moment of conversion from the perishable or natural flesh, to the imperishable flesh from the spirit, as in [Joh 3:5 Jesus answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and *of* the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.] Is the water the baptism (which I decided the water represents cleansing, which is the purpose of water in the baptism), and the spirit is the Holy Spirit, who [Pro 31:24 She maketh fine linen, and selleth *it*; and delivereth girdles unto the merchant.], who being God makes the fine linen which is the righteousness of the saints, and delivers the girdles (which girdles is defined as (Webster’s Dictionary) “something that encircles”, which is a perfect description of a flesh body to a spirit or soul. Therefore notice also, the human person of the Spirit, the daughter of Jerusalem, is either described here as being one with her mother the holy ghost, or is herself a maker of bodies, which are delivered to the merchant, code here for, the Father or Son.

(14) Therefore the “redeemed” is likely the primary element or prerequisite to entering the kingdom, the perfect body which does not age nor feel pain, as Paul refers to here:[Rom 8:23 And not only *they*, but ourselves also, which have the firstfruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, *to wit*, the redemption of our body.] Therefore as the men receive their perfect youthful body at the moment of adoption (adoption by our Father and Mother God), so then the women in male flesh, receive their perfect youthful body, in female form. Is this indeed the correct picture of redemption for the hundred and forty four thousand redeemed from the earth among men?

If we consider the startling and depressing concept that the form of women cannot enter into heaven, this also tells us something about the true conditions of salvation, whereby the mystery of many being called, but few chosen might be explored.

[Mat 22:13 Then said the king to the servants, Bind him hand and foot, and take him away, and cast *him* into outer darkness; there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth.
Mat 22:14 For many are called, but few *are* chosen.]

(15) Observing the elements of the apostles, and even Christ himself, there is a conclusion that entering the reward as opposed to entering the punishment requires an extreme difficulty, wherein said apostle must also fight elements of evil in the world, the fighting the good fight, which as Paul described was not against flesh and blood, but against principalities and wickedness in high places. But the conclusion is that it is with extreme difficulty, even being put to death for righteousness’ sake, therefore we might conclude if Peter was correct in Thomas 114, that [Thomas (114) Simon Peter said to them, "Make Mary leave us, for females don't deserve life."] this is because in female form the courage required of salvation is not present, or in female form there is not strength to see through a battle unto death (neither will evil men put a woman to death, or scourge them the way they would a male).

We can understand that women are weaker spiritually than men also, such as lesser in long suffering (patients), lesser in meekness even, and forgiveness, for the servant is not greater than his master, as Jesus told us. Therefore a man's female is not superior to him spiritually, despite the error some men say, "my better half".

(16) There is a staggering mystery concerning creation, if one observes the conclusion of scripture, that God has created a world of men to live among in peace and joy. We know from everyday life that there is more to go wrong socially, in family, workplace, or casual interaction than anyone can keep track of, and is the reason if you think about it, that large numbers of people do not all live together in giant houses with many rooms, rejoicing together at all times. People don't get along with each other, especially over prolonged contact, so everyone branches out into their own house for their family or by themselves. Regarding the gender divide, how does the perfect joy (no sorrow, neither crying anymore) work, when there is a notable difference in worthiness or spiritual strength between the man and the woman created from him? Or simply between men and women in general life? Let us say the problem of perfect inner awareness of worthiness and rank is solved, how are people satisfied with each other, for instance if a man has a male friend which is noticeably more intelligent, motivated, deep, and interesting than his woman? For instance, how will his woman not become jealous if that male friend of her husband comes to visit, and her husband is more stimulated intellectually by his friend? Is there a moment when she might want to say something, but compared to his friend she is not as interesting? What if then her husband and his friend want to go off and do some activity together, for which she has not the physicality, or maybe even interest in doing, so they separate at that time?

(17) If one considers the problems of eternal life in the world, there comes the thought that even a single thing going wrong from an otherwise perfect situation could cause sorrow. In other words, anything less than perfect satisfaction equals dissatisfaction. My father once asked me a question designed specifically for thought evocation, which question is: Do you think reality is friendly or unfriendly? The easy answer is unfriendly, if not impossibly hostile, for that reason of a single dissatisfaction amongst otherwise perfection equals dissatisfaction (and usually multiple problems exist). This I would comment while here, is a jolly good reason to make friends with the Lord, because he alone is not only offering eternal life, but that with everlasting joy on their heads.

As speculation to the answer of the massive problems associated with social interaction, if one looks at the word "scripture" you notice the word "script" which is defined as a written text of a stage play. We know from God's word that the scripture and prophesy thereof is a manuscript of sorts, telling the beginning to the end. Therefore if one thinks of God as a playwright, we might ascertain that current reality is a play written specifically for everything to go wrong, and the age to come life everlasting, is a play written specifically for everything to go right. That being the case we might understand the problem of inequality amongst members in various areas being solved by a created situation, not only designed to solve problems beyond human control, but provide for the many things of glory, love, and satisfaction that each person inwardly needs and will always need.

(18) I like to say, Imagine the incredibly complex calculations required by God in order to make the world of men. We have no experience here in the world to compare to this kind of control over reality, which reality in fact I think man currently is largely ignorant of. There are some things we can understand based on reality we are currently familiar, at least as the scripture tells us regarding the age to come, life everlasting, as it is described in the last thousand years of God's seven thousand year plan, or more commonly known as the thousand year reign of Christ.

My father put it like this, "You need her, and she needs children." which we can compare to scripture:

[Psa 45:11 So shall the king greatly desire thy beauty:] and [Isa 54:3 For thou shalt break forth on the right hand and on the left], which both are statements in the scripture from God the Father to the daughter of Jerusalem. Therefore men shall still desire a woman, and women shall still desire children, as they do now. But I asked the question, If we receive new perfect bodies not born of a woman, why do the people yet experience the whole pregnancy and childbirth situation in the eternal life, and are these souls also given perfect new bodies not born of a woman at some point?

(19) [Psa 45:16 Instead of thy fathers shall be thy children, whom thou mayest make princes in all the earth.]

Remember that this is the Father speaking to the daughter of Jerusalem, the queen dressed in gold, but notice the interchangeable aspects of souls it appears this indicates. Are the souls that are the fathers the same as become the children? This says that the children of Jerusalem will be made princes in the earth, and that by her decree, so there will be these Jewish men who are kings of various nations in the earth. We know she, Jerusalem, is God and the first ruler of the kingdom, called "judge" as we see here:

[Psalm 45:7 therefore God, thy God] (the queen in gold attire)

[Micah 5:1 Now gather thyself in troops, O daughter of troops: he hath laid siege against us: they shall smite the judge of Israel with a rod upon the cheek.]

So these Jews which were her fathers will now be her children. [Isa 54:13 And all thy children *shall be* taught of the LORD; and great *shall be* the peace of thy children.] so these will not be rebellious against their God, but notice something more about this. The relationship between the king and queen, and the Jew priest kings of the earth, is one of father and mother to children.

(20) In answer to the question of why the whole process of childbearing, the answer might be to create relationships between people not otherwise possible. This even applies to the relationship between the king and the queen, and every man and his woman. The son and daughter have a whole different feeling

about their parents who raised them and are their maternal parents, than if they appeared in some different way without this type of relationship. If love is a primary element of the life in the world, and love making a primary element of love, it seems children resulting gives this very element meaning, without which would be a noticeably inferior experience. Probably everyone has lamented that people could not enjoy free sex without all the fear and trouble of pregnancy, but the *eternal* fact might be that without this meaning attached to it the experience as a whole is notably lesser. That leaves the question then if people continually multiply won't that eventually get out of control? God has the answer, but one thought is that there is a perfect number that that gets completed, fulfilling forever the required meaning and at that time people will no longer need to reproduce, or perhaps we are somehow without death, entered into a new cycle of life continually by this means.

Exciting however is the upcoming age to come, life everlasting, and the difference in experience those who have entered the kingdom will have. I imagine part of the weeping and gnashing of teeth of those who failed in the faith, is seeing those who have not, and the great glory of their everlasting lives.

(21) [Ecclesiastes 7:25 I applied mine heart to know, and to search, and to seek out wisdom, and the reason *of things*, and to know the wickedness of folly, even of foolishness *and* madness:
Ecc 7:26 And I find more bitter than death the woman, whose heart *is* snares and nets, *and* her hands *as* bands: whoso pleaseth God shall escape from her; but the sinner shall be taken by her.
Ecc 7:27 Behold, this have I found, saith the preacher, *counting* one by one, to find out the account:
Ecc 7:28 Which yet my soul seeketh, but I find not: one man among a thousand have I found; but a woman among all those have I not found.]

Ecclesiastes 7:25 gives us the overview for these rather dark but informative verses, and notice the sweeping totality of gaining knowledge and wisdom as one can find it in this life: to know, search, seek wisdom, and the ending items seem to tell us the searching is complete, going to the extremes of folly and madness to find out the whole story. Then the first thing he remarks upon is a startling and sad usage of the woman as the ends of men who do not please God. This seems to match [Rev 14:4 These are they which were not defiled with women;] (the 144,000 firstfruits to God). It does not make sense this is meaning all women are bitter, but, consider it says [Ecc 7:26 whoso pleaseth God shall escape from her], which if you match with [Pro 8:35 For whoso findeth me findeth life, and shall obtain favour of the LORD.] you notice life and death appear to be linked to a type woman one finds.

(22) Can we observe the man who succeeds in his faith to become righteous and holy, will thus be pleasing to God, and as such will find wisdom, and the person thereof, being [Isa 28:9 weaned from the milk, *and* drawn from the breasts.], understanding the doctrine of wisdom, finding life, and escaping the wicked woman;

And the man who fails in the faith, not becoming righteous, but remaining wicked with the world, and not holy (which is filthy), will thus NOT be pleasing to God, not be profitable for Him, and such will NOT

find wisdom, therefore NOT having [Isa 28:10 precept upon precept], and so failing to understand doctrine correctly, and thus is given over to be ensnared by the evil woman [whose heart *is* snares and nets]?

If a man's righteousness is unbreakable, even to death [Rev 20:4 beheaded for the witness of Jesus], should he not be pleasing to God? And of this unbreakable righteousness, was there not a progression in knowledge whereby he succeeded, if it says [Isa 28:10 For precept *must be* upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line] preceded by [Isa 28:9 Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine?] which those who have found wisdom (who is Jerusalem), as scripture tells us is the Holy Ghost?

(23) There were two elements of reality regarding the [Ecclesiastes 7:26 woman, whose heart *is* snares and nets]. Those two elements are: 1. that men not purifying themselves from the sin of the world will in general be given to marriage with children, which this being bitter and not good; and 2. that the woman referred to here is a larger spiritual entity which ensnares souls, as I described both Jerusalem and Babylon have simultaneous existence as a person, city, nation, and spirit which dwells in people.

In fact the two may be synonymous to an extent, with extra meaning attached that the man pleasing God will not be ensnared in the whole marriage and children cycle as we find it in this current world, indeed being virgins, which is not having ever engaged in intercourse with a woman, [Rev 14:4 These are they which were not defiled with women; for they are virgins.] I do not diverge as some that "virgins" means anything less than actually not having had intercourse with a woman ever, and notice again the sad commentary on womanhood itself that a man will defile himself in loving making therewith, though it is written [Heb 13:4 Marriage *is* honourable in all, and the bed undefiled:], so what are these meanings of defiled and undefiled? (Knowing God's word does not conflict)

(24) I would say marriage and the bed thereof itself indeed are honorable, but how is a man honorable and pleasing to God having defiled himself, and defiled clearly means sexual intercourse with a woman? The answer, the same as I found with "once saved always saved" John 3:16 "all you need is the name and no matter what you are saved": TIME.

Over time the sinner who was given the name of Jesus will for failure of repentance have the name taken out, thus he no longer has the Son; and in the marriage issue, the marriage and intercourse of this current world is not pure, thus now it defiles, whereas in the age to come, life everlasting, where marriage and children are clearly described [Isa 54:1 more *are* the children of the desolate than the children of the married wife, saith the LORD.], marriage and intercourse will be holy. The difference is time.

Therefore again what is happening is a needed thing for a time wherein the female half of ourselves is associated with the death of the natural world, but when the work of the foundation of the world is done a new form of women will appear for the eternal lives in the world, which is the joyous beauty of the world.

This thing we all greatly look forward to, but is for now like a fiction fantasy we partially do not believe, and mostly do not understand.

(25) [Jer 31:34 And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know the LORD: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith the LORD: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more.]

This verse tell us that perfect understanding will be imbued in each member of the kingdom of God, which is not simply convenient, but required. Consider the mechanics of this: God can program humans to be exactly what they need to be, for his great and holy purpose, a world without pain, sorrow, nor death. What happens then we might derive, is that those who wait on the Lord have a life where their personality, memories, and experiences will make for perfect inner satisfaction. This may seem scary, but perhaps one reason for the misery of this experience is realizing IT IS necessary for everyone to have the same idea about things, especially the things of God. Think of it, no more infuriating argument on what it right! Each man will have perfect understanding in the Lord.

(26) Therefore it seems a little useless to instruct or talk about things, especially the mundane matters of how men and women ought to behave, yet in our imperfect state we might still strive to know the right way, because this scripture is meant for this time, (seemingly called "the foundation of the earth"): [Mat 4:4 But he answered and said, It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God.]

To that end I think currently a difficult concept, (and widely unknown to Christians as I experience them) is the realization that we are split beings, and the entire reward, purpose of God with the world, end and meaning of salvation and life with God: entrance into heaven, is centered around the split being concept. Now I think even to those in love is there is too much of an "us and them" mentality to the great divide, whereas I would offer the future holds a perfect inner knowing that we ARE male and female, and when you are looking at a man or woman, you are looking at half of a single being, separated into two parts.

I feel like saying it this way: you men, when you see the problems of your woman, realize it is you, and these are your problems. Man, when you see your woman's glory, don't you know it is your own glory you behold?

(27) I see as part of this whole foundation experience a terrible fear, mistrust, hate, and jealousy associated, in particular by men toward women, otherwise known as misogyny, (see Jack Holland). In short, history is replete with anger, hatred, and abuse of women who do not stand a chance against a man physically or spiritually, and females likewise have their own common crimes against males, the result I think being a failed world of love. No matter intentions or intellectual prowess, the human fact remains

that when people do not get what they need they become bitter. Of course the world would not exist if all moments were bitter, yet I contend the unacknowledged truth is that by failure of understanding in the great divide, our world is in bitterness.

The code of God's word leaves no doubt that every soul will be informed of the salvation alone in God's son, Jesus the Christ and mediator between God and man, which means, that every soul not subjecting himself to the faith of Jesus is NOT someone who has not heard, or was ignorant, but has CHOSEN to refuse the same being who created them, who offers also to give them a life of joy without sorrow, nor death, nor crying, as we read the promise in scripture.

(28) Therefore those not accepting God's offer of life will scoff at the idea that there will ever be perfect understanding between men and women, scorning a pie-in-the-sky hope which turns them away from making the best of reality. Indeed, the truly faithful in the promise are those who have no desire to optimize their lives in the same way as one whose hope is in the world, however if people generally understood the tragic reality that awaits the current lovers of this world, they might realize the only intelligent option is the creator of that love, who knows how it works.

THE CHANGEOVER: RISE OF THE OMEGA DOMINION

The coming of Jesus' angel marks the beginning of the end of the old woman, and the coming in of the new, everlasting and perfect persons.

[Rev 22:16 I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, *and* the bright and morning star.]

Consider the future about to unfold in the world, the angel of Jesus, the Omega of Christ, the queen in gold of Ophir from Psalm 45, will appear in the churches to testify of what is given to her. Scripture tells us the daughter of Jerusalem is the angel of the mediator between God and man.

(29) What is about to happen is, the angel of Messiah will be seen in the churches God sends her to, testifying of the things concerning the beginning and the end of the work of God. She will speak, not of herself, that is, not what she has thought of, but God makes sure we know her testimony is which she has been given to speak. He tells us this knowing many blasphemers in the churches will rise against her in judgment.

[Joh 16:13 Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, *that* shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come.]

How many of you shall know it is Jesus the angel, a woman being spoken of here, and no mistake in scripture he has called her "himself", "he", and "him", but he kept her hidden from the disobedient, false-hearted Christians?

(30) Jerusalem, the comforter, will come, and bring all things to our remembrance, which thing has not happened yet, for the PERSON of the comforter has not yet come.

[Joh 16:7 Nevertheless I tell you the truth; It is expedient for you that I go away: for if I go not away, the Comforter will not come unto you; but if I depart, I will send him unto you.] Notice the reference to a person, as he was a flesh person seen by men, and saying he will go away, that another may come. Men will see a woman in the flesh, coming into the church, and testifying before the congregation those things she has been given to testify. But realize, if she is fairer than the children of men, she is probably going to be a young looking girl that people will not recognize. The idea a teenage blond girl is the holy ghost will be utterly incomprehensible. How shall the proud theologians and writers of books humble themselves, when their career works contain nothing of it, if not outright contradict the presence of God before them?

(31) For this issue of recognition I submit my theory the whole purpose of this life, being in the world as male and female, has its highest priority as the moment of love between the man and the woman. And there is one thing key to the moment of love making: a female person of comely appearance. This may seem shallow, or one may argue love goes deeper than the skin. I would offer, maybe it does, much deeper, and more meaningful, but does love survive without skin?

As always let us look to God's word for information regarding exactly how much importance God puts on physical beauty, even in particular that associated with women. And for the pattern of women, why not as Jesus is the example to men, as we read here [Eph 4:13 unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ:]. Then for the pattern of women we should look to Jerusalem, the daughter of God: [Psa 45:13 The king's daughter *is* all glorious within], [Song 6:9 she is *only* one of her mother] (the Holy Spirit)

[Psa 45:2 Thou art fairer than the children of men] Notice that the very first thing God says concerning her, and first attribute remarked upon in the primary chapter of scripture whereby we know the Jews have a queen, is her physical beauty. That alone to me says that physical beauty, especially as it regards women, is of primary importance, not simply a plus.

(32) Notice the attribute of physical beauty is remarked upon even before the verse telling us that personality wise, (her soul), is perfect as well. (See the whole of Psalm 45 about the queen of the Jews).

Look carefully at what God says in these two verses:

[Psa 45:10 Hearken, O daughter, and consider, and incline thine ear; forget also thine own people, and thy father's house;

Psa 45:11 So shall the king greatly desire thy beauty: for he *is* thy Lord; and worship thou him.]

In what I described as a transition from the old man, or perishable flesh, to the new robe of the daughter of God's people, notice that for the sacrifice God asks her to make, the very first thing of reward for this pertains to physical beauty. Notice that, the man Jesus Christ himself, the pattern of fullness in maturity for all men, is a man who not only desires this physical beauty, but *greatly* desires it. The life of Jesus Christ the lion of Judah as he shall be known, is the fulfillment of God's whole purpose of the world, as [Col 1:16 all things were created by him, and for him:], and here we read a significant element of his life, that he greatly desires the beauty of the person of Jerusalem.

(33) Therefore we look to God's word, (not what men like me say about it), so we will not err in understanding, as for instance the Muslim man who would cover a woman's a woman's beauty that no man save her husband might see it. Satan's-false-religion Islam teaches them to cover the glory of God, that it be not glory, and if it is covered that it is not seen, then it is not glory. And what error is this? that the simple Christian, who is also a scorner, casts down to shame the glory of God, and all her friends, if they do not hide away the beauty that is the reward for their work from the foundation, which is the glory of their beauty before men? If God desires the beauty of his woman, should not men desire the beauty of their women, and how shall they desire it if it is covered that no man see it?

The reaction of the pious scorner to the glory of women, and the pattern of the godly man has been, to detest as wicked, and whorish, should any woman be seen delighting in her glory, which is her beauty. The knowledge that women should be humble of behavior and attire in a world where men behave as animals is clear, and not provide temptation to men who are subject to fornication, but consider the age is upon us when men will NOT be subject to sin, and the glory of women's beauty is reward for both men and women.

(34) The reason I discuss this issue of physical appearance as I began the subject of Jesus' angel giving the testimony in the churches, is because of the surprise I spoke of in "Kingdom of the Holy Spirit - Omega the First Dominion", wherein I explain those not receiving the knowledge of wisdom will be "taken and snared" by the appearance of Christ the Omega.

[Rev 22:16 I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and the offspring of David, *and* the bright and morning star.]

Again, the root of David is Jehovah, our Father and the God of heaven, and the offspring of David (by flesh) is Jesus, who tells us [John 10:30 I and *my* Father are one.], which is followed by [the bright and morning star], which star is clearly a reference to angel, and bright and morning as attributes of this angel, looks to me like... Satan. [Isa 14:12 How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! *how*

art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!] which this does seem to connect with the person of Satan. We know Satan was an angel who became a beast (and a man who is king of Babylon in the end time) from [Eze 28:14 Thou *art* the anointed cherub that covereth] (cherub is a type of angel).

(35) Now I realize what I just said is disturbing to say the least, even to me, who am not sure what to make of it, and many doubtless will scream "blasphemy of the Spirit!" but hold on to your hats for a minute. Firstly, understand what blasphemy is. Jesus tells us exactly what blasphemy is, in the corresponding Matthew 12:31 and 12:32.

[Mat 12:31 Wherefore I say unto you, All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy *against* the *Holy* Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men.

Mat 12:32 And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the *world* to come.]

As these two phrases match, with the exception of [sin and blasphemy], interchanged with [speaketh a word against] we can see that blasphemy is the speaking of words against the holy, or the person of God. In particular Jesus refers to the Pharisees who accused him saying he cast out devils by the spirit of the devil, thus calling the Holy Spirit the devil. And is that not what I am saying here? No, and again the answer to the mystery is time. Further the scripture is right there for anyone to question the connection between what Jesus says he is and Satan, also specifically called the bright and morning star (star = angel).

(36) The following verses are an additional reference to the morning star, or "day star" found here in Peter, where "day star" appears to be the Holy Ghost.

[2Pe 1:19 We have also a more sure word of prophecy; whereunto ye do well that ye take heed, as unto a light that shineth in a dark place, until the day dawn, and the day star arise in your hearts:

2Pe 1:20 Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation.

2Pe 1:21 For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake *as they were* moved by the Holy Ghost.]

This is interesting that the important scripture concerning the correctness of revealing what might be thought to be sensitive revelations is addressed. No private interpretation means if you have discovered it you can share it. This verse is sandwiched between the reference to the day star, and mention of the Holy Ghost, possibly hinting at the controversy of pointing out the connection between Satan and the Spirit.

(37) I am not ignorant the scripture says [Rev 20:10 And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet *are*, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.] and further [Joh 17:12 While I was with them in the world, I kept them in thy name: those that thou gavest me I have kept, and none of them is lost, but the son of perdition; that the scripture might be fulfilled.], which together would seem to destroy the theory that the Spirit and Satan are possibly the same person.

We are given a great mystery which no man I have heard say to any satisfaction, what the "number of a man" (666) means, as we read, [Rev 13:18 Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number *is* Six hundred threescore *and* six.] I have found four other incidents of the number 666 appearing in scripture: [1 Kings 10:14, and 2 Chronicles 9:13 (Both reading): Now the weight of gold that came to Solomon in one year was six hundred and threescore and six talents of gold;] for which I did not get an idea concerning, but the remaining two instances of the number 666 do seem to indicate a person.

(38) Those two verses are:

[Psalm 66:6 He turned the sea into dry *land*: they went through the flood on foot: there did we rejoice in him.]

[Isaiah 66:6 A voice of noise from the city, a voice from the temple, a voice of the LORD that rendereth recompence to his enemies.]

Notice in both instances of verse numbered 66:6 the reference is to God the Holy Spirit, as scriptures next to it describes; [Psa 66:5 Come and see the works of God], clearly indicating it is God being referred to in Psalm 66:6. This is the source of Psalm 66:6; [Exo 14:21 And Moses stretched out his hand over the sea; and the LORD caused the sea to go *back* by a strong east wind all that night, and made the sea dry *land*, and the waters were divided.] which these types of miracles are generally associated with the Holy Spirit.

(39) [Isaiah 66:6 A voice of noise from the city, a voice from the temple, a voice of the LORD that rendereth recompence to his enemies.] The "voice" in this case is Jerusalem as reading the rest of Isaiah 66 and other connecting scriptures will make clear, and Jerusalem (below) is the daughter of Jerusalem above, meaning that as the Father and Son are one, so are the Mother and Daughter (God), and thus Jerusalem is the Holy Spirit.

Therefore the two 66:6 verses of scripture concern the Spirit of God, and the angel of Jesus, which is, the daughter of his people, Jerusalem, the human bride of Jesus, and queen of the Jews. Notice it *could* be coincidence that both instances of 66:6 refer to the spirit (and daughter of the spirit), because that number in a voluminous book might simply have to contain that number, and there is no connection. On the other

hand, scripture tells us numbers and codes are an important in God's word, and would God not know men would look at these references to 666 and infer a connection between Satan and the Spirit?

(40) Some other scriptural correlations between the fallen angel Lucifer and the Spirit are the similarities of their function. Satan accuses the brethren day and night, which thing seems confined only to the sin of Satan himself who deserves to be cast into the lake of fire, but how can Satan really be completely outside the cooperation of God if he can at least communicate to God the sins of Israel? In order to accuse you have to be in communication with someone, clearly God, and how then if Satan is communicating with God could he not have some kind of intelligent and regulated form of communication? Therefore it appears he functions as a judge.

[Rev 12:10 And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night.] (Notice "before" God, clearly in the presence of)

Compare with:

[Pro 1:23 Turn you at my reproof: behold, I will pour out my spirit unto you, I will make known my words unto you.], which while not an iron clad connection, both appear to have a function in judgment of man.

(41) Notice then Jerusalem is also one with her mother they way Jesus is one with his father as this comparison shows:

[Joh 10:30 I and *my* Father are one.]

[Psa 21:6 thou hast made him exceeding glad with thy countenance.]

(See the connection of similar elements in Psalm 45 to Psalm 21 to observe the person of Psalm 21 despite the male vernacular is in fact the daughter/queen of the Jews), for then which the daughter also being the daughter of Jerusalem [Mic 4:8 And thou, O tower of the flock, the strong hold of the daughter of Zion, unto thee shall it come, even the first dominion; the kingdom shall come to the daughter of Jerusalem.], followed by (so we know it is the same daughter person) [Mic 5:1 Now gather thyself in troops, O daughter of troops: he hath laid siege against us: they shall smite the judge of Israel with a rod upon the cheek.], which as you can see she is called a judge. The point is Micah 4:8 and 5:1 tell us the daughter of Jerusalem is called a judge, which connects to how Satan is a judge.

Notice again I am not saying the connection is iron clad, nor do I have an answer to the scriptural problems barring against any such connection, but realize that God knew many people would see this, and bold and open minds would contemplate if there is not a connection.

(42) While not a rock-solid connection, especially since the original scripture is written in Hebrew, I did notice an English alphabetic similarity between the two biblical names:

SARAH
SATAN

You can see they have three letters and the places of those letters in common. For which my guess is then that while the daughter of Jerusalem is called Jerusalem, her personal name might be Sarah.

As I explained also there appears to be scriptural transgender procedure regarding women starting out as male, so then notice for Satan the word "beauty", and being lifted up by it, has a rather feminine quality to it.

[Eze 28:12 the king of Tyrus, ... perfect in beauty.] and [Eze 28:17 Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness:] (notice again "brightness" matches [Rev 22:16 bright and morning star.]) So we see two references to beauty regarding Satan, which does not of course apply to what he became, a serpent and dragon, which is a bipedal reptilian, so he definitely lost his beauty by which he became too proud. Could it be, impossible and blasphemous though it sounds, that Satan becomes a woman and ends up Jesus' girl? Or perhaps, not the person in particular, but aspects or mantle of his character?

(43) But how do you solve the problem [Rev 20:10 And the devil ... shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.]? Certainly I don't know, perhaps yet another simultaneous existence of some kind we have nothing to compare to in our human experience, but it is an interesting idea that Satan ultimately does obtain forgiveness from God, though he enacted complete betrayal, but speaking of betrayal, consider that betrayal is enacted by all twelve of Jesus' original disciples.

[Mat 26:56 Then all the disciples forsook him, and fled.]

Notice it does not say "ran and hid somewhere for a while", it says "forsook", which how is forsaking after all they had seen and knew of him not betrayal? Satan was a perfect angel of heaven until he somehow developed iniquity, then refused an order from the most high, then led a complete revolt against God, then worked to destroy God's creation, but because his sin was notably worse than anyone else's against the Lord, does that mean forgiveness is impossible?

(44) [Luk 7:42 And when they had nothing to pay, he frankly forgave them both. Tell me therefore, which of them will love him most?

Luk 7:43 Simon answered and said, I suppose that *he*, to whom he forgave most. And he said unto him, Thou hast rightly judged.]

Now consider of this, that all the apostles sinned against the Lord, and betrayed him, or is not forsaking Jesus the Christ a betrayal? Then I will ask, if betrayal and forgiveness are good enough for the twelve apostles of Christ, is betrayal and forgiveness not good enough for us?

An important question might be asked concerning Luke 7:42-43; if the parable of the debtors and the "pay" are surely code for sin (including betrayal), and forgiveness of sin by God, then does not he with the greater sin against God love him more than he with the lesser sin? Now I ask: who is greater? He who loves the Lord less, or he who loves the Lord more? Can it be he who loves the Lord less is greater than he who loves the Lord more? And since all the apostles utterly betrayed Christ, who is the greatest of the apostles? Is it not that apostle who must love him the most?

(45) Who not having read, and not having studied for himself God's word, not know who is the greatest of the twelve apostles?

Therefore similarly, impossible as it might be comprehend, might we understand that if God betrayed God, how much more would this sin be? Is this why the scripture tells us, the bright and morning star of Jesus, and Satan the bright and morning star, are the same somehow? I don't know, but I would comment it certainly makes for an interesting plot, if Satan obtains forgiveness, even after being a man-eating horned reptile from hell, the most evil being there ever was, but when all is said and done, ends up his girl?

This gives new credence to the adage, "the devil is a woman", or, "The Devil Wears Prada". Now this is truly a departure from simple thinking if we seriously consider it, but of course I may find out this connection does not exist, but for the scorners I would contend that theorizing based on a logical question regarding scripture is not speaking words against (blasphemy), but is an understandable question I think anyone open minded might ask. Is Satan really God's girl? I read in the Gnostic gospels somewhere, "the male serpent is evil", but perhaps this was said knowing the female serpent was good.

(46) (Narration over pictures of Sera)

While clearly this is not a scriptural source, when I saw this character from the hit anime "Genesis Climber Mospeada" (coming here to the US as Robotch in 1985), and it inspired me as a prototype for an alien empress in a story I wrote in high school. This character, Sera, is the princess of an alien invader that takes over earth and falls in love with one of the resistance fighters. It is one of my favorite anime shows to this day, but the point of showing this is I think while Satan controls the talents people have to some extent, and especially those having to do with art and music, ultimately all things are from God, and I believe many things in art and music are the inspirations of God.

For those of you familiar with my reptilian exposure movies, you know that I do not have to rely on the artwork of others to know that horns are a physical feature of serpents, whereby we get all the classic depictions of the devil having horns on his head. Looking back I wonder if somehow I knew inwardly

there was something about the hair that symbolized an underlying reality concerning this character. I have to wonder if the original story and art designer was inspired by the spirit, given I also saw a semblance of Jesus in the Rick Hunter character from Macross, created by the same team.

(47) Although not talented on a professional level, my feeling looking back was that the inspiration I had for a similar space fantasy either comes from God in some way, and or is some kind of memory or perhaps collective consciousness. I think this because later in life when I became a Christian there seemed to me to be similarities between my story elements and scripture. Now that I am aware the king and queen will appear in the earth, and they are God, it looks to me like that inspiration had divine source, but I am not saying it is holy like scripture, or provided by God for instruction. Rather the inspiration of God carries into the hearts of his creations.

WAS SUPERMAN REALLY A GIRL ALL ALONG?

Born in 1970 I grew up with the superhero genre already well established, and Superman the archetype of this genre a comic character of antiquity. I was however impressed by the still excellent original 1978 "Superman" the movie, directed by Richard Donner. I always thought there was something special about this concept, and now I am of the opinion that it was an inspiration for a real character which God created, and will appear in the earth. Notice that writer Jerry Siegel and artist Joe Shuster were both Jewish, and the character they created was apparently influenced by things they themselves enjoyed as children, including text books and comics, but was there more to how Superman was actually created?

(48) Looking first at the characteristics of Superman, we know him as "the man of steal", being invulnerable to any conventional attack. Notice the similarity to this scripture:

[Mic 4:13 Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion: for I will make thine horn iron, and I will make thy hoofs brass: and thou shalt beat in pieces many people]

Does this look something like, a "man of steal"? Hoofs clearly refer to feet, but horn is given in Webster's dictionary forth definition as "source of strength", or maybe it refers to the head. As you can see in Micah and confirmed in other scriptures, the daughter of Zion, princess of the Jews, is redeemed in the end time in Babylon, which redemption happens before the rest of the elect are redeemed, [Pro 31:15 She riseth also while it is yet night], but with this particular born again, she is apparently given super human powers. Consider that if the "beat in pieces" is literal (and I think it is, or what else?), the force necessary to actually beat a human being to pieces is beyond human strength, especially for a woman.

(49) As far as being invulnerable to conventional attack, notice also it says about Jerusalem:

[Isa 54:17 No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper;]

So these describe some of the super human attributes of the redeemed Jerusalem that seem similar to the Superman character, but is there really a connection? A lot of people including myself thought the "S" symbol inside the inverted triangle stood for "Superman", but what the original Superman movie showed us was that the various families of Superman's true home world, Krypton, each had a family crest that they wore, in the form of various symbols. Therefore the "S" was a symbol, not a letter, and could it be related to the serpent, arguably a symbol of the power of God.

Notice the staff God told Moses to throw down became a serpent, and later that same staff had to be lifted up for Israel to prevail against the armies of the enemy [Exo 17:11 And it came to pass, when Moses held up his hand, that Israel prevailed: and when he let down his hand, Amalek prevailed.], but that same staff (rod) became a serpent previously, which Jesus himself remarks upon.

(50) [Num 21:8 And the LORD said unto Moses, Make thee a fiery serpent, and set it upon a pole: and it shall come to pass, that every one that is bitten, when he looketh upon it, shall live.
Num 21:9 And Moses made a serpent of brass, and put it upon a pole, and it came to pass, that if a serpent had bitten any man, when he beheld the serpent of brass, he lived.]

Here we have the serpent again, this time symbolizing judgment and healing. Notice each person had to look upon the serpent in order to be judged, and if the person was worthy a brass serpent Moses carved came to life and bit the person, which I think it is reasonable to say involved pain. This event and story as we have in the scripture is not fruitless for teaching. We know that a snake or adder, the animal, is called "serpent", but as I now know this word also refers to a bipedal being, which Satan the former angel becomes, and it is a likely conclusion the bipedal type of "serpent" is who spoke with Eve convincing her to transgress.

Therefore the animal snake (or adder) symbolizes the bipedal sentient beast called serpents (the higher order of which I think are called "dragons"). The idea that snakes or four-footed dragon type creatures are used to represent the reptile man/beast might be an important connection for understanding the serpent in God's creation.

(51) Additionally about the sentient serpent:

[Amos 9:3 And though they hide themselves in the top of Carmel, I will search and take them out thence; and though they be hid from my sight in the bottom of the sea, thence will I command the serpent, and he shall bite them:]

This scripture tells us God commands the bipedal, sentient, high-tech wielding reptilian (serpent), which here we see these beasts are used to dispatch God's enemies in underwater bases. This is another hint that

while the scripture says God has no part with evil (including Satan) [2Co 6:15 And what concord hath Christ with Belial?], yet if the serpent obeys the command of God it would appear not all serpents are the enemy, or perhaps he commands them anyway, (but how can he command them if he has no part with them?)

God commanded Satan that he might afflict Job, but only in a limited way, as this shows us [Job 2:6 And the LORD said unto Satan, Behold, he *is* in thine hand; but save his life.] But again if there is no part of God with Satan, "that old serpent", how is giving rather specific commands to someone not having at least some part with them?

(52) I think a lot of people miss that the rod Moses threw down before Pharaoh which became a serpent, then that same rod needed to be lifted in his hands for Israel to prevail, tells us that something to do with the serpent is related to God's power. Further that symbol has to be lifted up, or upheld, in order to give them power.

Jesus said, [Joh 3:14 And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so must the Son of man be lifted up:] Clearly Jesus understood the meaning of the serpent, but so far as I have found did not expound on the meaning. But he does say that the lifting up of himself was that men might believe on him and be saved. [Joh 3:15 That whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have eternal life.] Therefore the "lifting up" must be several things, but in particular that which provides that men might hear of him and believe. Therefore "lifting up" might be miracles which people witnessed and God could then give the scribes to write down, or else if there were not miracles people would not believe.

Did the Jews in Moses' time know that the serpent or its symbol was lifted up, and what was the meaning of the serpent that Israel prevailed by lifting it up?

(53) Continuing with the possible connection of the artistic vision of Superman and the tower of the flock, or daughter of Jerusalem, notice there is a current age example of a fiction story which came true, and that is the novella "Futility", written in 1898 by U.S. writer Morgan Robertson, fourteen years before the sinking of the Titanic. In the fiction story the ship was named "Titan" and both ships, described as "unsinkable," were the largest of their day, and both hit an iceberg and went under in mid-April. A little too much of a coincidence for a reasonable mind to rule out there is some way in which entertainment media in some cases appears to tap into some common source, perhaps collective consciousness, perhaps inspiration given by God.

As I have considered it over time, it seems an event as large as the coming of a man with super-human powers in the age of instant world-wide video coverage would likely have a similar artistic expression. That artistic expression I believe is what started the entire super hero genre, Superman, and Superman might in some way symbolize the serpent, a power of God.

(54) If I am correct that the person of Jerusalem will appear in Babylon as the first born again Christian, and will have super powers, the [Mic 4:13 Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion: for I will make thine horn iron, and I will make thy hoofs brass], it will be interesting to see if any features of Clark Kent match her. For instance, will she be a news reporter, and wear glasses to hide her identity? Will she be able to fly, and have lasers that shoot out of her eyes? Will she have a fortress of solitude, and be vulnerable to some green substance? Will she be known as saying, "I never lie"?

[1Pe 2:22 (Jesus) Who did no sin, neither was guile found in his mouth:] (guile = lies)
[Jer 50:20 In those days, and in that time, saith the LORD, the iniquity of Israel shall be sought for, and *there shall be* none; and the sins of Judah, and they shall not be found: for I will pardon them whom I reserve.]

Therefore we know those born again, those of the redemption, the remnant of the Jews, will be without sin which includes lying, and I find it interesting that in Superman the original movie he tells Lois Lane "I never lie", matching the description of the redeemed. And then concerning the princess of the Jews also,

[Psa 45:13 The king's daughter *is* all glorious within: her clothing *is* of wrought gold.]

(55) I also felt something, like a deep truth, when you hear Jor El (played by Marlin Brando) say to his son in that echoing voice of someone in the spirit, "Even though you have been raised as a human being, you are not one of them. You have great powers, only some of which, you have as yet discovered." and his father also says to him, concerning humans, "They can be a great people Kalel, if they choose to be, they only lack the light to show the way. For this reason above all, their capacity for good, I have sent them you, my only son."

I realize some might call this a classic Satanic counterfeit Christ, and perhaps it is, who knows, but I think there is something inspired by a reality to be, and if so it matches scripture. We know Jesus is the only begotten Son of God, but less known is that Jesus says he is the Alpha and Omega, meaning the male and female. His angel that goes before him is Jerusalem, and while not the male, Jerusalem is technically the only begotten, if she is Jesus, which you can see she is:

[Rev 22:13 I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, the first and the last.] Therefore he is saying, "I am ... Omega", therefore Omega = Christ, therefore she is also only begotten of God, as is also said [Psa 45:13 The king's daughter *is* all glorious within: her clothing *is* of wrought gold.]

(56) When I think of Superman and the princess with horn of iron and hooves of brass, there is a chapter of scripture repeated in 2 Samuel 22:1-51 and Psalm 18:1-50 which seems to address the voice of the

angel, the holy ghost, and her daughter, [Rev 3:12 new Jerusalem], who are one in terms of meaning. Some key versus of these are:

[2Sa 22:3 The God of my rock; in him will I trust: *he is* my shield, and the horn of my salvation, my high tower, and my refuge, my saviour; thou savest me from violence.]

Matches:

[Mic 4:8 And thou, O tower of the flock] (daughter of Zion)

[Psa 18:29 For by thee I have run through a troop; and by my God have I leaped over a wall.] (Powers given to "leap tall buildings in a single bound")

[Psa 18:32 *It is* God that girdeth me with strength, and maketh my way perfect.

Psa 18:33 He maketh my feet like hinds' *feet*, and setteth me upon my high places.

Psa 18:34 He teacheth my hands to war, so that a bow of steel is broken by mine arms.]

(57) The "hinds' feet" seems like super speed, and "bow of steel is broken by mine arms" indicates super strength. Next we see that the enemies of God include Christians that cry unto him in prayer,

[Psa 18:40 Thou hast also given me the necks of mine enemies; that I might destroy them that hate me.

Psa 18:41 They cried, but *there was* none to save *them: even* unto the LORD, but he answered them not.]

Matches:

[Pro 8:36 all they that hate me (wisdom) love death.]

[Psa 18:42 Then did I beat them small as the dust]

Matches:

[Mic 4:13 thou shalt beat in pieces many people]

Looking at this chapter one might argue it clearly says "his" and "king", therefore this concerns Jesus, not his angel, but as I pointed out the connection between the Psalm 45 queen, and the "king" of Psalm 21 means at least scripturally the person of the queen is called "king", with male words of "he", "him" and "his" used to describe her.

(58) After some years of contemplation I have kept with my original conclusion that somehow I am able to recognize real characters in both animation and live action movies and their actors.

In anime I found characteristic colors associated with God, which also in my opinion correspond to certain scriptures. Those colors being, for Jesus, black hair, dark eyes, and darker complexion, and for Jerusalem, blond hair, green eyes, and fair skin. Here are two scriptures for each:

For Jesus: [Son 1:5 I *am* black, but comely, O ye daughters of Jerusalem, as the tents of Kedar, as the curtains of Solomon.] I see often as having a darker complexion and eyes, complains about having to get a job, has a quiet and calm personality, aloof and uninterested, a priest (Tenchi Muyo), a pilot (Rick Hunter), likes red haired girls, has lots of girls fighting over him, peace maker, has strong feelings for mother, very concerned with righteousness

And,

For Jerusalem: [Psa 21:3 thou settest a crown of pure gold on his head.] Fair complexion, gold hair, green eyes, temperamental, bossy, demanding, immature, eats like pig, cruel, rich or spoiled, condescending, impatient, warrior (Dunan Knute), fighting, princess (Allura), angry, hurling insults, judgmental, irresponsible, demonic or devilish (horns and fangs), always late, self infatuated, crybaby

(59) As for personality, the Jesus (or Alpha) character is often: the quiet, unexpected hero, such as Rick Hunter from Macross, and Kei Katsuragi from Orguss, Tenchi from Tenchi Muyo (Universe), Parn from Record of Loduss War, Commander Keith from Voltron, Wakatsuki from Outlanders, Mamoru (Tuxedo Mask), André from The Rose of Versailles, and many others.

For Jerusalem (or Omega), I noticed often depicted as either a serious warrior like Deunan Knute from Appleseed, and Oscar from The Rose of Versailles, or clumsy and piggish, like Usagi from Sailor Moon. Other character renditions of the Alpha and Omega are recognizable largely because they are together, the dark Jesus and fair Jerusalem. More for the Omega are Clair from Claymore, Princess Kahm from Outlanders, Saber (Arthur) from Fate Stay Knight, Hibiki Amawa from I, My, Me Strawberry Eggs, Invid Princess Sera, Mizuho from Otoboku, and many others.

I include these art renditions because I believe they do in fact tell us something interesting and informative, while yes risking exposure to evil elements that do tend to be in these entertainment media works. The fact is, the makers of media in this world are not going to be bible adherents, much less the elect, which means they serve Satan, but realize if God has appointed the powers that be, that Satan is in fact the appointed governor of the world.

(60) God tells us that he gives all nations of the earth into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon, which Babylon and its king are scripturally Satan and his home nation. Here is the scripture showing that God orders all nations to serve the king of Babylon:

[Jer 27:7 And all nations shall serve him, and his son, and his son's son, until the very time of his land come: and then many nations and great kings shall serve themselves of him.

Jer 27:8 And it shall come to pass, *that* the nation and kingdom which will not serve the same Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, and that will not put their neck under the yoke of the king of Babylon, that nation will I punish, saith the LORD, with the sword, and with the famine, and with the pestilence, until I have consumed them by his hand.]

Notice that the order from God to serve the king of Babylon is from generation to generation, meaning also this, the end time Babylon, which is the United States. Now the scripture telling us Satan is the king of Babylon:

[Isa 14:4 That thou shalt take up this proverb against the king of Babylon, and say, How hath the oppressor ceased! the golden city ceased!]

[Isa 14:12 How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! *how* art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!]

(61) (repeated from last page)

[Isa 14:4 That thou shalt take up this proverb against the king of Babylon, and say, How hath the oppressor ceased! the golden city ceased!]

[Isa 14:12 How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! *how* art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!]

So we see, Lucifer (We know this is another name for Satan, both from "son of the morning" (bright and morning star), and because he says "I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High." While Satan is called a serpent and dragon in Revelation, he is then called a man, and the king of Babylon In Isaiah 14. This is confusing unless you realize Satan's spirit can come and dwell in a man, such as Judas Iscariot, who Jesus said [Joh 6:70 Jesus answered them, Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil?], which indicates a person becomes the spirit indwelling him, (or it might have meant Judas Iscariot was a beast). Another possibility is that Satan is a shapeshifter, a serpent beast lizard man than can appear human most of the time, but whatever the case, we know God calls Satan a serpent, dragon, man, and king (at least of the latter day Babylon).

The Jeremiah "nations shall serve him, and his son, and his son's son" leaves the impression Babylon and its king are representative of Satan's kingdom in the earth, and the reality that God has ordain him.

(62) Look again at this verse:

[Joh 6:70 Jesus answered them, Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil?]

Notice he says, "Have I not chosen you twelve". If Jesus therefore chose them, it seems as though even though one of them betrays him, those twelve are still his chosen. The number twelve corresponds to the twelve tribes of Israel, and the twelve gates on the four sides of the New Jerusalem. Just because Jesus knew in advance Judas Iscariot would betray him, does not mean he has no chance of forgiveness, nor that he will not yet be what appears to be a head of one of the twelve tribes of Israel, which it appears the twelve disciples are.

Could it be the same for Lucifer, who was an angel of high ranking, perfect in ways and beauty until he fell. If one considers all the history of Satan included in the scripture, one might derive there is more to him than simply destruction forever, and no use of all that experience for the kingdom of God.

(63) As I alluded to regarding betrayal and forgiveness, the question regarding rank or greatness is associated with the degree of sin a person is forgiven.

[Luk 7:42 And when they had nothing to pay, he frankly forgave them both. Tell me therefore, which of them will love him most?

Luk 7:43 Simon answered and said, I suppose that *he*, to whom he forgave most. And he said unto him, Thou hast rightly judged.]

Who had the most sin of the twelve? Judas Iscariot. Therefore if he is forgiven he is the greatest of the apostles. Who is to say that Judas Iscariot is not ultimately forgiven, if we know there is a resurrection unto life, and at least most of the original twelve disciples are saved, like Peter [Mat 16:18 That thou art Peter, and upon this rock I will build my church], who we know was crucified inverted, (meaning he died), and we know then he would live again in the resurrection, which is death then coming back to life in some other body, which is reincarnation. Therefore those Christians who say there is not reincarnation need to correct their doctrine. Further not only in the resurrection, but *before* this the disciples and indeed everyone saved will have lived once before, and *again* become born of a woman and in sin before they are born again of the spirit (redeemed, the new perfect body), because we know God will gather the elect from all nations of the world, and the remnant of Jerusalem is sore broken in the place of dragons before they are redeemed. This completely cements that reincarnation is a fact of scripture.

(64) If one looks then at these two people, Satan and Judas Iscariot, could it be that as the persons with the greatest sin toward the Lord, and their debt the greatest, that when they receive forgiveness from God they are the two that love him most? I concede fully I have no idea if this is the case, and I speak of it not to be sensationalistic contradictory to the common Christian knowledge, but since the time I realized this more than twenty years ago it still rings true me.

Further, before we are given to know God perfectly, now is a plenty good time to be very cautious in all things, especially condemnation or speaking words against someone. Before I got into the discussion of the possible forgiveness and true identity of Satan, I was describing what is indeed scriptural, which is that the angel of Jesus will be seen preaching in the churches, and it will be a woman people see. I discussed appearances being an issue, as we know the godly men of Jesus' time balked at his flesh, not being able to accept the man before them as being the messiah. The same issue will occur with the Omega Messiah, for which all the Godly men of *this* time not knowing the scripture because they did not turn at the reproof of the spirit (Proverbs 1:23), and then did not drink from the knowledge of Jerusalem (Isaiah

28 and 66), and so for their refusal do not have the precept upon precept, to be ready to see the God when she comes.

(65) And will those not having found wisdom, our instructor, or know she, our God, is a woman as Genesis 1:27 tells us, be prepared when a woman stands up, speaking in the church, and at some point says "Look upon your God!", [Isa 40:9 O Jerusalem, that bringest good tidings, lift up thy voice with strength; lift *it* up, be not afraid; say unto the cities of Judah, Behold your God!]

The reason I show the graphic art in entertainment media, besides that it's interesting, is because the first appearance of messiah, Jesus' angel, is going to be a surprise to all those who have not found wisdom and therewith favor with God. Therefore these are in *disfavor* with God. So the fact that God is a woman and appears first will itself be a major snag, but what if there is truth to the reality that she is in some way related to the devil, or has his likeness in some way, or is associated in some way with the serpent?

Just hypothetically, what if someone says, "Hey, I saw a vision of the angel Lucifer, and she looks just like him!" Or perhaps someone says, "Look! It's Satan disguised as a woman! Don't be fooled Lord!" Or rumor to some effect that her sign is the serpent, and accuse her of being a devil, not knowing the scripture linking the Spirit, the daughter, and the dragon. Who knows, maybe she has horns like Princess Kahm, or is "truly the embodiment of the dragon" like Saber.

(66) Finally in regard to the connection between Jerusalem and Satan, I discussed previously how that Jerusalem is both a person and a city, a simultaneous existence I as yet do not understand, but you can see this clearly in the scripture, that a flesh woman who is the bride of Christ, is also the city of God with streets of clear gold. We know also that Jerusalem is God, for she is the queen dressed in gold of Psalm 45, where we read [Psa 45:7 Thou lovest righteousness, and hatest wickedness: therefore God, thy God, hath anointed thee with the oil of gladness above thy fellows.] and other passages telling us Jerusalem the queen is God. Then we know also that she is an angel, because of the connection of the Revelation Alpha and Omega, compared with the other two things Jesus says he is: the root and offspring of David, and the bright and morning star.

In Isaiah 54 we read that that she is a barren woman, grieved and forsaken, a wife of youth when she was refused, but then a description directly linking her to actually being a city also, as we read, [Isa 54:11 O thou afflicted, tossed with tempest, *and* not comforted, behold, I will lay thy stones with fair colours, and lay thy foundations with sapphires.

Isa 54:12 And I will make thy windows of agates, and thy gates of carbuncles, and all thy borders of pleasant stones.]

(67) Now compare that Isaiah 54:11-12 with Ezekiel 28:12-13, a scripture well known as a unique source of information regarding Satan:

[Eze 28:12 Son of man, take up a lamentation upon the king of Tyrus, and say unto him, Thus saith the Lord GOD; Thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, and perfect in beauty.

Eze 28:13 Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God; every precious stone *was* thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper, the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle, and gold: the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created.]

Notice, as with Jerusalem, a man, or angel, albeit fallen, and a king, (the king of Tyrus here), is also called a city by means of being made with precious stones, with tabrets and pipes disannulling the possibility this is some kind of clothing Satan had as a Cherub. Further we see two matching precious stone types:

Isaiah 54:11-12 "sapphires, carbuncles"

Ezekiel 28:13 "sapphires, carbuncles"

And then we have the [Revelation 21:9 I will shew thee the bride, the Lamb's wife.] and [Rev 21:19 And the foundations of the wall of the city *were* garnished with all manner of precious stones.] of which "sapphire" is common among all three as cities with precious stones.

(68) Notice also how "beauty" is a mentioned feature for both Jerusalem and Satan. For Jerusalem we read:

[Psa 45:2 Thou art fairer than the children of men: grace is poured into thy lips: therefore God hath blessed thee for ever.]

[Psa 21:6 For thou hast made him most blessed for ever: thou hast made him exceeding glad with thy countenance.] (Notice the reference to physical appearance is associated in both cases with blessing forever.)

And then:

[Song of Solomon 4:10 How fair is thy love, my sister, *my* spouse!]

[Son 4:7 Thou *art* all fair, my love; *there is* no spot in thee.] (Compare Psalm 45:13 "all glorious within")

[Song of Solomon 7:6 How fair and how pleasant art thou, O love, for delights!]

[Son 6:1 Whither is thy beloved gone, O thou fairest among women?]

Now compare the "beauty" element with Satan:

[Ezekiel 28:7 they shall draw their swords against the beauty of thy wisdom, and they shall defile thy brightness.]

[Eze 28:12 Thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, and perfect in beauty.]

[Eze 28:17 Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness]

(69) It may be that these higher order of beings tend to have in common the elements of beauty and simultaneous existence as a person and a city, and there is no connection beyond that they are both creations of God. I certainly don't know, but we do know that matching elements in scripture are used to connect identity of persons and understandings. While seeming impossible to us in light of other scriptures clearly pointing to the difference in eternal fate between Satan and Jerusalem, the connection between the two is an item of consideration to those examining the scripture. With God knowing this, (and knowing English), what if not a connection between them do you imagine God purposed with these connecting elements?

While there is not comparative scripture to go along with it, I have wondered if this verse from Thomas was related to the forgiveness of Satan: [(Thomas 107) Jesus said, "The kingdom is like a shepherd who had a hundred sheep. One of them, the largest, went astray. He left the ninety-nine sheep and looked for that one until he found it. When he had gone to such trouble, he said to the sheep, 'I care for you more than the ninety-nine.'"]

Which matches [Luk 7:47 to whom little is forgiven, *the same* loveth little.]

(70) In any case you can imagine the reaction of the simple if indeed something like this is the case, and consider how God creates incomprehensible mysteries shown only to select few (many are called to Christ, but few chosen). If indeed Satan and Judas are forgiven their trespasses, who are we not forgive or accept them, when all have sinned and cannot pay ourselves? Should we judge everything regarding the serpent as evil, when God uses the serpent for both power and healing?

The serpent is lifted up in the wilderness and Israel prevails. The serpent judges who is righteous and heals them. The serpent is the appointed ruler of the earth during the first six thousand years of the seven thousand year plan of God, and could not have been so but that God placed him in that position.

There is no new thought under the sun, but as I am a believer in evolution, I wonder given the relevance of the serpent in creation according to scripture, if the original life form of God might not have been reptilian. According to all evidence the reptilian life form is by far the most successful, (longest lasting and dominating) and so it is logical that the life form that eventually came to be the most high God started as reptilian. This is only broad speculation as even the physical universe or whatever it was that resulted in the God that created *this* universe might have had entirely different properties and therefore life forms we might even recognize.

(71) NEW IMPORTANCE ASCRIBED TO WOMEN

One of the largest problems I find with Christians is a lack of acknowledgement of what we *don't* know, even of those iron clad realities we find in scripture being things we simply do not know for sure until they come to pass. We know clearly from scripture (and personal experience), the problem of pride and

desperate protection of fragile faith from unbelievers and believers alike attacking the foundations of our belief. In the early days of my faith I was more argumentative and defensive in my conversations until time and experience gave me confidence in handling differences.

I think there is an immaturity in this regard unto destruction, and the coming of the Holy Spirit will be a major snare to these. In the matter of God appearing as a woman I see that many godly men and teachers of good report will encounter the *bad* kind of surprise. I would say clearly I myself would be among these had I not received the blessing of this knowledge, and looking at the whole of who I am, being inspired by the *form* of women at least, it seems I might be useful in describing some observations. For those having doubts as to whether a male can teach or contribute in the knowledge of the things regarding women, might I refer you to the book "Misogyny" by Jack Holland, which I think rather than "To Kill A Mocking Bird" should be required reading in high school.

(72) The book "Misogyny" by Jack Holland gives a good rendition of hatred and prejudice against women throughout time, which I think illustrates the fear of the unknown plaguing us to this day. Putting it in simple terms, Now that I know God will be showing up as a woman there is newfound interest for men to take a more studious approach to the mystery of women. I find of my own prejudices, they are quite hard to maintain if I get to know a person's personal challenges.

As I considered it, the first thing to the end of understanding women is understanding that when you are looking at a woman, you are looking at part of a two part being. You are not looking at an individual, but one part of a single divided being. There is no woman that exists as an isolated, single, or individual being in and of itself, but is an external representation of a man. A man as well represents but half of a two part being, with the notable exception that a man can exist as an individual self contained character, without a woman necessarily existing somewhere, as Jesus indeed said [Thomas (61) I am he who exists from the undivided] Not so a woman. A woman does not exist except she was taken out of a man, but this means that in some respects it is a copy of the man, or reflection of his character and place in the kingdom, placed into the life of a woman.

(73) A man is not created in isolation from the fact he will have and live with his female externalization, and what calculations required to make this work free of sorrow is not readily known to say the least.

When we hear the Spirit speak, such as the voice out of the burning bush, or the captain of the host to Joshua, he speaks as though he is God, meaning that while he is a person separate from the Father, he knows that his will and the Father's are one, though the Father is above him. We also see this first person speaking throughout scripture, for instance [Pro 5:14 I was almost in all evil in the midst of the congregation and assembly.] This scripture is a statement by the Holy Spirit which he inspired in the scribe, to describe a future event in which he, the human manifestation of the Spirit, describes a moment of his life in the world. We can see this because the chapter is the voice of wisdom, and comes out of the blue in the middle of instruction, not story telling.

The point of this teaching to get over the idea that a woman (ultimately) speaks on her own behalf or of herself, but rather, as the Spirit, the woman is the hand of her man. A woman is a helper to the man, whatever his work is, and is created *for* the man. This is to differentiate the woman as serving God directly, or herself. This is an important difference in the outlook between men and women, that a woman's happiness and career are centered on serving her man in particular, whereas a man serves the Lord God, but his career is *not* centered on serving and following his female.

(74) The fact is we have no idea what the coming perfect reality looks like, when our inner knowledge and behavior are perfect, being so different from our experience now it's like looking through a dark glass to discuss it, but we know from scripture that teaching in the dark of night is necessary.

Even so there are some truths and realities we can see in this time that will remain somewhat in the age to come, life everlasting. It seems rather shallow in advanced spiritual exploration, but another major difference in the outlook of women is the importance of appearance. A man is more glorified by his work or attributes, whereby his entire glory is not dependant on his physical appearance, hence the remaining sex appeal of men past their prime. It's important to understand the full ramifications to women not having this luxury, or reservoir of glory. The glory of women's beauty is great indeed, but I think there should be no misconception that the entire purpose of being a woman is utterly lost for failure thereof. Yeah, and in case anyone might mistake my position concerning this, I am saying that success in appearance verses failure for women, is a worth living, or *not* worth living, situation. For instance, even slightly overweight, not worth it. Ass too big, not worth it. Body not elegant and proportionate, not worth it. Anything uncomely, not worth it. For their glory is destroyed by it.

(75) Specifically, a woman's face needs to be pretty, and her body sexy and beautiful, and no flaw in these such over or under weight, disproportion, blemish, aging, or anything detracting from perfect and awesome... loveability. That's really the ultimate measure and purpose of this entire emphasis on physical appearance... which I say God created for herself. A man lives for honor, glory, love, and satisfaction in his work, and if you are a female man a BIG element of that glory among men, is appearance of lovability. Now sex is the highest priority, as I have found the entire purpose of creation is prioritized downward from the moment of love making between the man and the woman, but as with everything I find of God's creation, it is multi-purpose.

The glory a woman has in her physical being is not enjoyed just by her man, as perhaps Muslims would contend, but this glory is enjoyed before all men and women. Notice love making is only good and sinless between the man and his woman created from him, so why is the glory loveability also in the eyesight of men other than one's eternal partner? I believe it is because enjoyment of beauty is itself a necessary joy of the life in the world. Because people live and work together daily, the appearance by which we identify each other and the beholding of each other is a large part of our lives. We can see this is correct as the Spirit who was with God in the beginning, also having the appearance of a woman among men, says she

enjoyed her personal glory in the sight of men, as we read: [Pro 8:31 Rejoicing in the habitable part of his earth; and my delights *were* with the sons of men.]

(76) For those blessed to know the fulfilling of the fifth commandment, to honor father and mother that your days may be long in the land which the same gives to you, notice that God tells us to call wisdom our sister, our kinswoman [Pro 7:4 Say unto wisdom, Thou *art* my sister; and call understanding *thy* kinswoman:] I have to imagine this is because, as an eternally young hot sexy woman enjoying her glory among men, it might be slightly diminished to be called "Mother". Remember, it says "honor" your father and mother, that you not be cut off from the land which the same gives to you.

This is why Jesus says what he does when the Pharisees accuse him, because they dishonored their father by saying he transgressed.

[Mat 15:2 Why do thy disciples transgress the tradition of the elders? for they wash not their hands when they eat bread.

Mat 15:3 But he answered and said unto them, Why do ye also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition?

Mat 15:4 For God commanded, saying, Honour thy father and mother: and, He that curseth father or mother, let him die the death.]

(77) If you do not know that wisdom is the fulfillment of prophesy regarding the fifth commandment, and you do not know that Jesus' angel comes before him, and is first ruler of Israel, how shall you do God's commandments that you might enter into the city and have right to the tree and fountain of life? Shall you not be as the Pharisees saying, "A woman should not speak in the church, but keep silent as the scripture tells us?", completely missing [Rev 22:16 I Jesus have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches.]?

For you who will turn at the reproof of wisdom, and cease from the world, what do you imagine is honoring Father and Mother, that your days might be long in the land which the same gives to you? You know it is NOT to accuse them of transgressing the law, and NOT speaking words against them. Shall the clay rebuke the hand that forms it? Therefore as you may not immediately recognize them, should you not be careful in your reproof, or speaking words against any man (and especially any female man)? Now I said that all of the race of men are above all the race of women, but remember that no man is above God, and there is one woman in the earth who is God, and you might not know which woman that is. Shall the scripture which says the speaking of words against the Holy Ghost not apply to you, if you didn't know it was a girl enjoying her glory among men? Will you rebuke the Lord, righteous Christian man, and you are but a man, and she is surely God as the scripture surely says?

(78) Notice how in all mech anime the hero or heroine pilots are young in appearance, and for some reason this youth is the only type of person that can pilot them? Notice all the adults are usually a lot bigger and kind of like dinosaurs in comparison? When I reflect on the person I was in school, while young and foolish, I was in many ways more vibrant and powerful. I wonder if the scripture telling us God has hidden his secrets from the wise given them unto babes related to the actual condition the redeemed will appear as.

[Mat 11:25 thou hast hid these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them unto babes.]

Another possible snare to the hopeful in Christ might be the youthful appearance of both the Alpha and Omega, and because the Omega appears first there seems more likelihood people might not be able to associate the person of God as someone of such youthful appearance and perhaps countenance. It may be that the redeemed, including the Lord have a more youthful appearance than the rest of us might recognize, imagining God to be some majestic adult or very serious person, when in fact they might be childlike and playful. I only speculate where I have no idea, but I think it would be cool to be school-days young forever.
